

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,810	07/22/2003	Erik Lier	040092-020010US	7770
7	590 06/03/2005		EXAM	INER
Mark J Itri MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			ALEMU, EPHREM	
18191 Von Karman Ave			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 400			2821	
Irvine, CA 92612-0187			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

乱

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Commence	10/625,810	LIER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ephrem Alemu	2821					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005.							
<u> </u>	_ · · · _ —						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
••• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 12-14, 16-18, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sreenivas et al. (US 6,795,020).

Re claims 1 and 2, Sreenivas discloses an antenna, comprising:

a first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312), (Figs. 1, 3) comprising:

one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) of a first antenna element type (108, 308) in a first region (i.e., second area 136, 336) of the first antenna array (312); and

a plurality of antenna elements of a second antenna element type (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) in a second region (i.e., first area 132, 332) of the antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); wherein the first region (i.e., second area 136, 336) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) is a central region, and the second region of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) is a region outside of the central region (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 14- Col. 8, line 48).

Re claims 12-14, Sreenivas further discloses a second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) comprising one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 304, 308); wherein

Art Unit: 2821

at least the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) comprise antenna elements of the first or second antenna element type (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 14- Col. 8, line 48).

Re claims 16-18, Sreenivas further discloses the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) has a coincident or overlapping frequency band as the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); wherein the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) are interleaved with at least a portion of the antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 108, 308) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); and wherein the antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 108, 308) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) that are interleaved with the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) are of the first antenna element type, and at least a portion of the antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 108, 308) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) that are not interleaved with the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) are of the second antenna element type (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 51- Col. 8, line 48).

Re claims 28 and 29, Sreenivas further discloses at least one of the first antenna array and the second antenna array comprises an active phased array antenna (i.e., active antenna system)
(Figs. 1A-1C, 9; Col. 5, lines 53- Col. 6, line 19; Col. 12, lines 37-62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2821

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-11, 15, 19-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sreenivas (US 6,795,020) in view of Lalezari (US 5,838,282).

Re claims 3, 4, 5, 6-11, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22-25, 30 and 31-60, Sreenivas does not disclose the one or more antenna elements of the first or second antenna array comprise antenna elements of the first antenna element type and the second antenna element type, the first antenna array comprising a third antenna element type and a specific configuration of the antenna with a spacecraft. However, Sreenivas teaches of providing phased array capable of providing multiple operating frequencies and of directing towards a particular area of the Earth in a minimal area required by the antenna (Figs. 1A-1C, 9, Col. 5, lines 53- Col. 6, line 19; Col. 12, lines 37-62).

In the same field of endeavor, Lalezari discloses an antenna system 22 mounted on a spacecraft (satellite 12) comprising one or more antenna elements of a first or second antenna array comprising antenna elements of the first antenna element type (i.e., patch antenna) and the second antenna element type (i.e., helical elements) for the purpose of achieving desired antenna pattern shapes in all relevant frequency band. Lalezari, further disclose the antenna array comprising a third antenna element type. In addition, Lalezari teaches the first and second antenna array may each include any type of radiating element, which is capable of operating in the respective frequency range (Col. 2, lines 16-65; Col. 5, line 26- Col. 6, line 6; Col. 10, line 15- Col. 11, line 10).

Art Unit: 2821

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide one or more antenna elements of Sreenivas second antenna array with the first antenna element type (i.e., patch antenna) and the second antenna element type (i.e., helical elements) as taught by Lalezari for the purpose of achieving desired antenna pattern shapes in all relevant frequency band. In addition configuring the antenna array on a spacecraft as claimed in 3, 4,7, 9, 20, 22 would have been an obvious design choice, for example see Cherrette et al. (US 5,870,063) cited by applicant. Furthermore, it would have been well in the skill of an artisan to use a helical antenna element type of a first length for the first antenna element of the first or second antenna array and use a helical antenna element type of a second length for the second antenna element of the first or second antenna array which is capable of operating in the respective frequency range as taught by Lalezari (Col. 2, lines 16-65).

Re claims 26 and 27, given Sreenivas modified by Lalezari antenna system, the first antenna array comprising a Navigation Warfare global Positioning system antenna and the second array comprising Earth Coverage Global Positioning System antenna would have been obvious since Lalezari discloses that each of the separate arrays in the antenna system are adapted for operation in a separate frequency band, and as such include radiating elements tunes for that frequency band.

Re claims 31 and 32, Sreenivas discloses an antenna system for a spacecraft (i.e., satellite), comprising:

a first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312), (Figs. 1, 3) comprising:

Art Unit: 2821

one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) of a first antenna element type (108, 308) in a first region (i.e., second area 136, 336) of the antenna array (312); and

a plurality of antenna elements of a second antenna element type (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) in a second region (i.e., first area 132, 332) of the antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); wherein the first region (i.e., second area 136, 336) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) is a central region, and the second region of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) is a region outside of the central region (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 14- Col. 8, line 48).

Although Sreenivas does not show the spacecraft (i.e., satellite) comprising a space craft bus, Sreenivas teaches and discloses the antenna system as described above capable of operating at multiple frequencies that is relatively compact and that occupies a relatively small surface area. Lalezari discloses a spacecraft (i.e., satellite 12) comprising a spacecraft bus and array antenna coupled to the spacecraft bus (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Sreenivas antenna system a spacecraft (i.e., satellite 12) comprising a spacecraft bus as disclosed by Lalezari for no other reason than providing high gain in both first and second center frequencies for the purpose minimizing coupling and losses due to close proximity of the antenna elements.

Re claims 42-44, Sreenivas further discloses a second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) comprising one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 304, 308); wherein at least the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) of the

Art Unit: 2821

second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) comprise antenna elements of the first or second antenna element type (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 14- Col. 8, line 48).

Re claims 46-48, Sreenivas further discloses the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) has a coincident or overlapping frequency band as the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); wherein the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) are interleaved with at least a portion of the antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 108, 308) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); and wherein the antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 108, 308) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) that are interleaved with the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) are of the first antenna element type, and at least a portion of the antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 108, 308) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) that are not interleaved with the one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) of the second antenna array (i.e., second array 116, 316) are of the second antenna element type (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 51- Col. 8, line 48).

Re claims 58 and 59, Sreenivas further discloses at least one of the first antenna array and the second antenna array comprises an active phased array antenna (i.e., active antenna system)
(Figs. 1A-1C, 9, Col. 5, lines 53- Col. 6, line 19, Col. 12, lines 37-62).

Re claims 33, 34, 35, 36-41, 45, 49, 50, 51, 52-55 and 60, Sreenivas does not disclose the one or more antenna elements of the first or second antenna array comprise antenna elements of the first antenna element type and the second antenna element type, the first antenna array

Art Unit: 2821

comprising a third antenna element type and a specific configuration of the antenna with a spacecraft. However, Sreenivas teaches of providing phased array capable of providing multiple operating frequencies and of directing towards a particular area of the Earth in a minimal area required by the antenna (Figs. 1A-1C, 9; Col. 5, lines 53- Col. 6, line 19; Col. 12, lines 37-62).

In the same field of endeavor, Lalezari discloses an antenna system 22 mounted on a spacecraft (satellite 12) comprising one or more antenna elements of a first or second antenna array comprising antenna elements of the first antenna element type (i.e., patch antenna) and the second antenna element type (i.e., helical elements) for the purpose of achieving desired antenna pattern shapes in all relevant frequency band. Lalezari, further disclose the antenna array comprising a third antenna element type. In addition, Lalezari teaches the first and second antenna array may each include any type of radiating element, which is capable of operating in the respective frequency range (Col. 2, lines 16-65; Col. 5, line 26- Col. 6, line 6; Col. 10, line 15- Col. 11, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide one or more antenna elements of Sreenivas second antenna array with the first antenna element type (i.e., patch antenna) and the second antenna element type (i.e., helical elements) as taught by Lalezari for the purpose of achieving desired antenna pattern shapes in all relevant frequency band. In addition configuring the antenna array on a spacecraft as claimed in 3, 4,7, 9, 20, 22 would have been an obvious design choice, for example see Cherrette et al. (US 5,870,063) cited by applicant. Furthermore, it would have been well in the skill of an artisan to use a helical antenna element type of a first length for the first antenna element of the first or second antenna array and use a helical antenna element type of a second

Art Unit: 2821

length for the second antenna element of the first or second antenna array which is capable of operating in the respective frequency range as taught by Lalezari (Col. 2, lines 16-65).

Re claims 26 and 27, given Sreenivas modified by Lalezari antenna system, the first antenna array comprising a Navigation Warfare global Positioning system antenna and the second array comprising Earth Coverage Global Positioning System antenna would have been obvious since Lalezari discloses that each of the separate arrays in the antenna system are adapted for operation in a separate frequency band, and as such include radiating elements tunes for that frequency band (Col. 2, lines 16-35).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3-14-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicants argument that the applied references are not seen to teach or suggest the feature of a first antenna array which includes one or more antenna elements of a first antenna element type in a first region of the first antenna array, and a plurality of antenna elements of a second antenna element type in a second region of the first antenna array" is respectfully disagreed. Sreenivas clearly discloses an antenna, comprising: a first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312), (Figs. 1, 3) comprising: one or more antenna elements (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304, 108, 308) of a first antenna element type (108, 308) in a first region (i.e., second area 136, 336) of the first antenna array (312); and a plurality of antenna elements of a second antenna element type (i.e., radiator elements 104, 304) in a second region (i.e., first area 132, 332) of the antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312); wherein the first region (i.e., second area 136, 336) of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) is a central region, and the

Art Unit: 2821

second region of the first antenna array (i.e., first array 112, 312) is a region outside of the central region (Figs. 1, 3; Col. 7, line 14- Col. 8, line 48).

Because, Sreenivas clearly discloses that the first and second antenna elements are operating at different frequencies; the first and second antenna elements of Sreenivas's clearly refers to the first and second element types as claimed in claim 1.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,810 Page 11

Art Unit: 2821

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EA 5-26-05

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800